

Appl. No. 10/701,032
Amdt. dated December 1, 2005
Reply to Office action of June 3, 2005

Remarks

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the present amendment is respectfully requested. By the present amendment, the abstract is amended to address issues raised within the Office action.

Turning to the claims, several of the claims have been amended to address issues raised within a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

Turning to the rejection of claims in view of the patent to Rockenfeller, the rejection is respectively traversed. It is to be noted that the Rockenfeller apparatus is directed to a sub-compartment of a conventional freezer (see column 18, lines 25-38). In distinction, the present invention is directed to two cooling moves for the single cooling chamber. Claims 1 and 9 have been amended to present this concept and new claim 11 has been added to further illustrate this concept. Accordingly, the patent to Rockenfeller does not provide the present invention as set forth in the claims. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn and that the application be allowed.

If there are any further fees required by this communication, or if no check is enclosed, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, Order No. 36175.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON LLP



Ronald M. Kachmarik, Reg. No. 34512

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

216-579-1700

December 1, 2005